UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF MASSACHUSETTS

Logan Febbi,	: : Civil Action No.:
Plaintiff,	· :
V.	:
Central Portfolio Control, Inc.; and DOES 1-10, inclusive,	COMPLAINT
Defendants.	: :

For this Complaint, the Plaintiff, Logan Febbi, by undersigned counsel, states as follows:

JURISDICTION

- 1. This action arises out of Defendants' repeated violations of the Fair Debt Collection Practices Act, 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq. ("FDCPA"), and the invasions of Plaintiff's personal privacy by the Defendants and its agents in their illegal efforts to collect a consumer debt.
 - 2. Supplemental jurisdiction exists pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1367.
- 3. Venue is proper in this District pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 1391(b), in that the Defendants transact business in this District and a substantial portion of the acts giving rise to this action occurred in this District.

PARTIES

4. The Plaintiff, Logan Febbi ("Plaintiff"), is an adult individual residing in Lunenburg, Massachusetts, and is a "consumer" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(3).

- 5. Defendant Central Portfolio Control, Inc. ("Central"), is a Minnesota business entity with an address of 6640 Shady Oak Road, Suite 300, Eden Prairie, Minnesota 55344, operating as a collection agency, and is a "debt collector" as the term is defined by 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(6).
- 6. Does 1-10 (the "Collectors") are individual collectors employed by Central and whose identities are currently unknown to the Plaintiff. One or more of the Collectors may be joined as parties once their identities are disclosed through discovery.
 - 7. Central at all times acted by and through one or more of the Collectors.

ALLEGATIONS APPLICABLE TO ALL COUNTS

A. The Debt

- 8. A financial obligation (the "Debt") was incurred to a creditor (the "Creditor").
- 9. The Debt arose from services provided by the Creditor which were primarily for family, personal or household purposes and which meets the definition of a "debt" under 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(5).
- 10. The Debt was purchased, assigned or transferred to Central for collection, or Central was employed by the Creditor to collect the Debt.
- 11. The Defendants attempted to collect the Debt and, as such, engaged in "communications" as defined in 15 U.S.C. § 1692a(2).

B. Central Engages in Harassment and Abusive Tactics

12. In January 2011 Defendant called Plaintiff's house phone line and left a voice message, in which Defendant disclosed its name and asked Plaintiff to call back.

- 13. Plaintiff returned Defendant's call and was asked to provide his Social Security Number (SSN). Plaintiff identified himself to defendant but refused to give his SSN.
 - 14. Thereafter, Defendants began calling Plaintiff's family members.
- 15. Defendants called Plaintiff's mother-in-law twice when they had valid contact information for Plaintiff.
 - 16. Defendants also called Plaintiff's sister-in-law and Plaintiff's mother.
- 17. Plaintiff sent Defendants a cease and desist letter via facsimile, in which he requested that Defendants cease calls to him and his family members.
- 18. Nevertheless, Defendants continue to place daily calls to Plaintiff and Plaintiff's wife.
- 19. Defendants failed to inform Plaintiff of his rights under the state and federal laws by written correspondence within 5 days after the initial communication, including the right to dispute the Debt.

C. Plaintiff Suffered Actual Damages

- 20. The Plaintiff has suffered and continues to suffer actual damages as a result of the Defendants' unlawful conduct.
- 21. As a direct consequence of the Defendants' acts, practices and conduct, the Plaintiff suffered and continues to suffer from humiliation, anger, anxiety, emotional distress, fear, frustration and embarrassment.

<u>COUNT I</u> <u>VIOLATIONS OF THE FDCPA 15 U.S.C. § 1692, et seq.</u>

22. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.

- 23. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692b(3) in that Defendants contacted third parties in regards to the Plaintiff's debt on numerous occasions, without being asked to do so.
- 24. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692c(c) in that Defendants contacted the Plaintiff after having received written notification from the Plaintiff to cease communication.
- 25. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692d(5) in that Defendants caused a phone to ring repeatedly and engaged the Plaintiff in telephone conversations, with the intent to annoy and harass.
- 26. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692e(10) in that Defendants employed false and deceptive means to collect a debt.
- 27. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(1) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the amount of the Debt.
- 28. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(2) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the name of the original creditor to whom the Debt was owed.
- 29. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(3) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice stating the Plaintiff's right to dispute the Debt within thirty days.
- 30. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(4) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice informing the Plaintiff of a right to have verification and judgment mailed to the Plaintiff.

- 31. The Defendants' conduct violated 15 U.S.C. § 1692g(a)(5) in that Defendants failed to send the Plaintiff a validation notice containing the name and address of the original creditor.
- 32. The foregoing acts and omissions of the Defendants constitute numerous and multiple violations of the FDCPA, including every one of the above-cited provisions.
 - 33. The Plaintiff is entitled to damages as a result of Defendants' violations.

<u>COUNT II</u> <u>VIOLATION OF THE MASSACHUSETTS CONSUMER PROTECTION ACT,</u> <u>M.G.L. c. 93A § 2, et seq.</u>

- 34. The Plaintiff incorporates by reference all of the above paragraphs of this Complaint as though fully stated herein.
- 35. The Defendants employed unfair or deceptive acts to collect the Debt, in violation of M.G.L. c. 93A § 2.
- 36. Defendant's failure to comply with these provisions constitutes an unfair or deceptive act under M.G.L. c. 93A § 9 and, as such, the Plaintiff is entitled to double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees.

PRAYER FOR RELIEF

WHEREFORE, the Plaintiff prays that judgment be entered against Defendants:

- 1. Actual damages pursuant to 15 U.S.C. § 1692k(a)(1) against Defendants;
- Statutory damages of \$1,000.00 for each violation pursuant to 15 U.S.C. §1692k(a)(2)(A) against Defendants;
- 3. Costs of litigation and reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to 15 U.S.C.§ 1692k(a)(3) against Defendants;

4. Double or treble damages plus reasonable attorney's fees pursuant to M.G.L.

c. 93A § 3(A);

5. Actual damages from Defendants for the all damages including emotional

distress suffered as a result of the intentional, reckless, and/or negligent

FDCPA violations and intentional, reckless, and/or negligent invasions of

privacy in an amount to be determined at trial for the Plaintiff;

6. Punitive damages; and

7. Such other and further relief as may be just and proper.

TRIAL BY JURY DEMANDED ON ALL COUNTS

Dated: March 7, 2011

Respectfully submitted,

By __/s/ Sergei Lemberg

Sergei Lemberg (BBO# 650671) LEMBERG & ASSOCIATES L.L.C. 1100 Summer Street, 3rd Floor Stamford, CT 06905

Telephone: (203) 653-2250 Facsimile: (877) 795-3666 Attorneys for Plaintiff